

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/808,124	POTTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Teresa E Strzelecka	1637	

All Participants:

(1) Teresa E Strzelecka.

(2) Eric Ledford.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka 2/9/05
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an amendment submitted December 14, 2004, Applicant added a limitation of SEQ ID NO: 6 to claims. However, the sequence submitted as SEQ ID NO: 6 contains an error of amino acids 120-141 being duplicated. Mr. Ledford was asked if Applicant wanted to submit a supplemental amendment with a corrected sequence listing, corrected Fig. 8 and corrected description of Fig. 8, or accept a final rejection. Mr. Ledford called back on February 11 indicating that they preferred to receive the final rejection..